To: federal Court of Eastern Michigan

From: Everson R. Francis Subject: Cause of Action

Case: 2:09-cv-13510

Assigned To: Cleland, Robert H Referral Judge: Randon, Mark A. Assign. Date: 9/4/2009 @ 10:19AM Description: CMP FRANCIS V PICKWICK APARTMENTS (SS)

#### Dear Honourable Judge

I am Everson R. Francis (law student) and I am suing Pickwick apartments, Glen heritage townhomes, and American Profit recovery.

These businesses negligently send out incorrect information to the credit bureaus about me owing them money since November 2007 until now 2009; they said I owe \$1832.13.

I actually over paid for this lease and they wrote me back a cheque for the difference but they the defendants forgot to make a record of it. Now, two years after they realize their mistake and apologize for making such a gross negligence for two years. After all this I could not get loans because of this to finish law school, I could not get to purchase a car because of the defendants gross negligence, I could not get a safe apartment in Baltimore (city with the highest crime rate) because of the defendants gross negligence for two years, etc. Now I would like to sue for damages of up to \$2000000 according to the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA).

This version of the FCRA includes the amendments to the FCRA set forth in the Consumer Credit Reporting Reform Act of 1996 (Public Law 104-208, the Omnibus Consolidated Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 1997, Title II, Subtitle D, Chapter 1), Section 311 of the Intelligence Authorization for Fiscal Year 1998 (Public Law 105-107), the Consumer Reporting Employment Clarification Act of 1998 (Public Law 105-347), Section 506 of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (Public Law 106-102), Sections 358(g) and 505(c) of the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001 (USA PATRIOT Act) (Public Law 107-56), and the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act of 2003 (FACT Act) (Public Law 108-159).

The provisions added to the FCRA by the FACT Act will become effective at different times. In some cases, the provision includes its own effective date. In other cases, the FACT Act provides that the effective dates be prescribed by the FTC and Federal Reserve Board. See 16 CFR Parts 602. (69 Fed. Reg. 6526; February 11, 2004) (69 Fed. Reg. 29061; May 20, 2004).

However, I cannot finish law school because I was turned down for all my loans because the loan company said for two years the defendants reported the inaccurate information that I did not pay my debt and my account is delinquent. Pickwick apartments now Glen Heritage Townhomes and American Profit recovery also violated the law by submitting multiple delinquent points to my credit report per month for two years according to my credit monitoring program I have at bank of America, this is a violation of the FCRA 15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq..

Pickwick apartments, Glen townhomes, and American Profit recovery was notified of this negligence; American Profit recovery stated Pickwick apartments now Glen townhomes told them to proceed with the recovery while Pickwick apartments now Glen heritage townhomes said they never said to proceed with the recovery. Pickwick apartment also known as Glen Heritage townhomes was notified of the problem and did nothing to correct this situation.

Pickwick apartments, Glen townhomes, and American Profit recovery now realize that they ruin my life by doing such a negligent act and are now apologizing. But will their apologies pay for school or the cars or the apartment or the things I was turned down for because of their negligence? NO.

FCRA states Instead of contacting the credit reporting agency, contact the creditor directly. The FCRA states that the disputed information need to be reported by the creditor to the credit reporting agency, including a note that you are disputing the information if you contact a creditor and indicate that they have reported information incorrectly to your credit report. In any case, if the information is found to be inaccurate or an error, it must be corrected on your report or removed.

FCRA also states there must be a settlement and if it is not satisfactory then higher authorities also exist. A coupla options exist. After following the dispute process and the results are unsatisfactory to you, you can then file a dispute with the National Consumer Assistance Center. If you believe the credit bureau has violated the FCRA, contacting your Attorney General's Office is the last option. You are able to sue the creditor / credit bureau in either the state or federal court. Should you win, all of your attorney fees and damages would eventually be reimbursed to you.



34405 W. 12 Mile Road, Ste 379 Formington Hills, MI 48331 5608

Everson Francis 20160 Westpoint Ave Romulus MI 48174-9436

Client Name: Hentage Gien Townhomes

Account Number: 257928

August 19, 2009

Current Balance: \$1,832.13 Phone Number: (877) 588-8577

Heritage Gleri Townhomes has requested our involvement in the above unresolved account. We wish to encourage the resolution of your account. We understand there may be a misunderstanding and would hope you utilize the verification process as described below. Our request for resolution does not affect your right to dispute this debt

Send correspondence, including payments, to American Profit Recovery at 34405 W. 12 Mile Road, Ste 379, Farmington Hills, MI 48331-5608

This communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days from receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a sudgment and mast you a copy of such judgment or ventication. If you request this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice, this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor if different from the current creditor

> American Profit Recovery (877) 588-8577 Business Hours: 8am - 5pm EST M-F

### THIS HAS BEEN SENT TO YOU BY A COLLECTION AGENCY

managery contribution in the first section of the second states and the second second

001.699666300000

34405 W 12 Mile Road Ste 379 Farmington Hills MI 48331-5608 PETURN SERVICE REQUESTED Customer Name, Heologe Glen Townhomes Account Number 257928 Amount Due: \$1,532.13

August 19, 2009

257926-00600-210555082 <u>իսնիսի-փիկիկիրուդուրի-ի գիովիկովու</u> Everson Francis 20160 Westpoint Ave Romulus MI 48174-9436

MAKE CHECK OR MONEY ORDER PAYABLE TO: American Profit Recovery 34455 W 12 Mile Rd Ste 379 Farmington Hills Mt 48331-5608

To pay with MoneyGram, find location at www moneygram com-Receive Code 5377 Company Americas Profit Recovery City Farmington Hills State M. Account Number 287928

#### **Legal Questions**

- Is Pickwick apartments/Glen Heritage townhomes liable?
- Is American Profit Recovery liable?
- Whether the legislative history indicates any legislative intent, explicit or implicit, either to create or deny such a remedy?
- Whether the implication of a private remedy would frustrate the underlying purposes of the legislative scheme?
- And as I am an international student am I entitle for a very high damage because now I may have to leave the country as my F-1 status will be violated because I am not in school as a result of the defendants' gross negligence.

In Dornhecker v. Ameritech Corporation, the court held that the FCRA provides individual consumers with a private right of action against a furnisher of credit information for failing to properly comply with its duties. Analyzing the case under the four-factor test set forth by the Supreme Court in Court v. Ash for determining whether a private remedy is implicit in a statute not expressly providing for one, the court held that individual consumers do have a private right of action against a furnisher of information under the FCRA.

The court next looked at the legislative history and found that Congress did not intend to limit civil liability under 15 U.S.C. sections 1681s-2(b). The court also found that a private remedy does not frustrate the purpose of the FCRA's scheme, noting that other provisions of the FCRA provide consumers with a private right of action. Lastly, Congress expressly intended for the FCRA to co-exist with state consumer protection laws.

In Geeslin v. Nissan Motors, the court ruled that the 1996 FCRA amendment allowed a claim on the FCRA duty to update and correct information, but recognized no claims that arose prior to September 30, 1997. Campbell v. Baldwin involved a case where the court ruled that furnishers of information who violated 15 U.S.C. section 1681s-2(b) are not exempt from civil liabilities.

The Ryan court also discussed the plaintiff's allegation of negligent non-compliance with the FCRA. Section 1691o(a) says that any person who is negligent in failing to comply with any requirement imposed under this subchapter with respect to any consumer is liable to that consumer for damages and costs.

In Olexy v. Interstate Assurance Co., the plaintiff asserted various claims for: willful, malicious and intentional interference with contract, business relation and prospective advantage; fraud; defamation; intentional infliction of emotional distress; and violation of the FCRA. The plaintiff contended that the defendant published and reported a false claim of indebtedness to a credit reporting agency. The court found that the cause of

action could be read as being asserted under 15 U.S.C. section 1681s-2(b), which imposes a duty to investigate and report incomplete or inaccurate information to consumer reporting agencies upon notice of a dispute. The court also affirmed that there is a private right of action by a consumer for a violation of such subsection, citing Dornhecker. Thus, the court denied the defendant's motion to dismiss for lack of standing.

#### Damages calculator

- Lost of Loans for law school because of defendants negligence \$500,000
- Emotional stress \$500,000
- Lost of cars and transportation because of defendants negligence \$100,000
- Staying with friends and lost of apartment \$100,000
- Having to leave America so I may not violate my F-1 status \$100,000
- Putting a hold to my life so I could not finish school when I am suppose to and provide for my family, my son, and my fiancé: \$2000000
- Ruining my credit score which was 702 to now 450: \$250,000
- Making me live in a high crime area because they refuse to send a letter confirming my total payment for my entire lease, even thought they send me a refund cheque saying I over paid: \$1000000
- Defamation \$500,000
- Violation of FCRA \$1000000
- Lost wages \$200,000
- Taking my time to file this case by myself \$500,000
- Harassment of debt collector \$200,000
- Debt collector calling me, I calling back and also calling pickwick apartment now Glen Heritage Townhomes \$10,000
- Horrible service \$20,000
- Storage of my things because I did not get my loans because of their negligence \$20,000 and growing
- United States embassy fees \$10,000
- Plane tickets to fly back home \$10,000
- Money for my country as I could not finish when I am suppose too to make a difference in my country \$100,000
- Miscellaneous expenses \$500,000

# Case 2:09-cv-13510-RHC-MAR COVER SHEET County in which action arose 7018//

44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided a rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating equivalences of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating equivalences.

le civil docket sneet. (SEE I	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FO	ORM.)			• •
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	n (A h.) = c	DEFENDANT	s PickWick a	ratulit	
= VIENSO	N R.FRANCIS	DEFENDANTS PICK (N) CK CAPAITMENTS  GLEN IT EN TAGE TOWN HOME  AMENICAN PROFIT RECOVERY  County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)			
(b) County of Residence	e of First Listed Plaintiff  EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				
			l e	AND CONDEMNATION CASES, U ND INVOLVED.	SE THE LOCATION OF THE
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)			Attorneys (If Known)		
II. BASIS OF JURISI	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CI	L TIZENSHIP OF	F PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Onless of This State	ly)  PTF DEF  □ 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Poor Properties of Business In The	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	Diversity  (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Ite	l	en of Another State	O 2 O 2 Incorporated and of Business In	
			en or Subject of a reign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS		ORFEITURE/PENALT	Y BANKRUPTCY	ANY OR ENVIRON
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane  315 Airplane Product Liability  320 Assault, Libel & Product Slander  330 Federal Employers' Liability  340 Marine  345 Marine Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  385 Property  385 Property  385 Property	LINJURY   61 al Injury -   62 Alalpractice   62 Il Injury -   63 se Personal   64 Product   65 try   66 PROPERTY raud   69 n Lending ersonal   71 y Damage y Damage   72 Liability   73 ETITIONS   74 s to Vacate   79 rpus: Penalty nus & Other   46 Gondition   46 Condition   46	0 Agriculture 0 Other Food & Drug 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 88 0 Liquor Laws 0 R.R. & Truck 0 Airline Regs. 0 Occupational Safety/Health 0 Other LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 0 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 0 Railway Labor Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Applica 3 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee 5 Other Immigration Actions	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawa!	OTHER STATUTES  □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
Original 2 R	an "X" in One Box Only) emoved from	t Reop	pened and (sp	ansferred from 6 Multidistrectify) 6 Litigation	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which Civ	155 N	EGLIGE	NCE (see atta	ched type doc)
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS A UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	ACTION DI	EMANDS 3c OD	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	(See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE April 8, 2009	SIGNATUR	E OF ATTORNEY (	OF RECORD	V	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
RECEIPT # A	AMOUNT APPLYIN	1G IFP	JUDGE	E MAG. JU	DGE

## RSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 83.11 1. Is this a case that has been previously dismissed? Yes If yes, give the following information: Court: Case No.: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge: \_\_\_\_\_ Other than stated above, are there any pending or previously 2. discontinued or dismissed companion cases in this or any other Yes court, including state court? (Companion cases are matters in which it appears substantially similar evidence will be offered or the same or related parties are present and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence.) If yes, give the following information: Court: Case No.: Judge: \_\_\_\_\_

Document 1

Filed 09/04/2009

Page 8 of 8

Case 2:09-cv-13510-RHC-MAR

Notes: